	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/000,473	ATCHISON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kaj K. Olsen	1753
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Kaj K. Olsen</u> .	(3) <u>Heather Weber</u> .	
(2) Erich Veitenheimer.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 January 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writted ecord of the substance of the	interview, since the interview
	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	'Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)
	·	• • • •

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted the applicant's representative to indicate that this application was showing up on the examiner's docket as being abandoned for failure to respond to an office action. However, the examiner noted that the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment was sent to the previous applicant's representative (i.e. the Office never entered the information on the Change of Power of Attorney of 3-2-2005). Applicant's representatives confirmed that they had not received this Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment from the previous applicant's representative. The examiner indicated that he would have the Notice of Non-Complaint Amendment resent to the appropriate address.

1.19/06